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that do/do not affect the core conclusions
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All ERROR reports can be found at [error.reviews](https://error.reviews/) & [osf.io/fpw4r](https://osf.io/fpw4r/)

# DECISION & ЯECOMMENDATION

[article description and rationale for selection]

Following the ERROR project’s emergent guidelines, the recommendations associated with a Moderate Errors decision are as follows:

* The report, author response, and recommendation have been posted on the ERROR website ([link]) and as a preprint on PsyArXiv ([link]). Their associated materials have been posted to OSF (see [link]).
* The authors are asked to recognize these errors in future discussions of the article.
* The authors are recommended to pursue a correction notice with the journal. Specifically, with regard to:
  + XXXX

# RECOMMENDER’S ЯEPORT

## Ian Hussey

[recognition of Reviewer and Author(s) efforts]

ERROR recommendations are public documents whose function is to (1) communicate the presence or absence of any errors detected, (2) consider their severity, and (3) provide discussion of how similar errors elsewhere might be prevented or detected. Materials for all error reports can be found at <https://osf.io/fpw4r/>.

### Summary of errors detected & how they could be prevented in future

XXXX

**Discussion of individual issues raised**

XXXX

**Unresolved issues**

It is reasonable to expect that ERROR reviews will leave some questions unresolved. It is useful to acknowledge the potential for such issues so that ERROR recommendations do not artificially convey that they represent the final word on issues of error detection and correction for a given article.

In this case, XXXX

I sincerely thank the Reviewer and Author(s) again for their error-awareness and -acceptance.

[Recommender]

# ЯEVIEW

## [Reviewer name]

[paste review from the google doc here]

# AUTHOR ЯESPONSE

## [Author(s) responding]

[paste author response here]